

ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY CONSORTIUM (ATC)

CREDENTIALING PROCEDURES FOR LUNG BRACHYTHERAPY IMPLANT

PROTOCOLS

Institutions wishing to enter patients onto ACOSOG-RTOG protocols that include permanent lung brachytherapy implants must be credentialed prior to participation in the study. Permanent lung implants require a team effort by the thoracic surgeon, radiation oncologist, and medical physicist. The procedures described below outline the credentialing requirements for the radiation oncologist and medical physicist. Credentialing of the thoracic surgeon is addressed in the protocol.

Note: If permanent lung implants are an option but are not required by the protocol and the institution chooses not to incorporate them in their plan of treatment, the credentialing procedures described below need not be completed.

RTOG and ACOSOG:

The treatment team is required to submit a Facility Questionnaire and two reference cases. The first reference case is a dosimetric calculation for a single seed. The second reference case is a treatment plan to be performed following the instructions in the protocol using a post-implant CT scan to be downloaded from the ATC website (<http://atc.wustl.edu/>). The second reference case must be submitted digitally to the ITC.

Exemptions:

1. Completion of the first reference case will be waived if the treatment team is already credentialed for prostate implants using the model 6711 ¹²⁵I seeds and the treatment planning system has not changed.
2. Completion of the second reference case will be waived if the treatment team has participated in ACOSOG Z4032 and successfully submitted digitally one or more cases to QARC. If a different planning system is to be used, then the second reference case must be submitted as well.

RTOG and ACOSOG packages are to be submitted to:

Image Guided Therapy QA Center
4511 Forest Park Avenue, Suite 200
St. Louis, MO 63108
Phone: (314) 747-5415
Fax: (314) 747-5423
Email: itc@wustl.edu

Changing to a different treatment planning system requires re-credentialing, with resubmission of the reference cases.

Institutions will be expected to transmit the second reference case and all patient plans in digital form to the ITC. Instructions for digital submission are available at <http://atc.wustl.edu/>.

For questions regarding data transfer, please contact the ITC.

LUNG BRACHYTHERAPY QUESTIONNAIRE

I. **Radiation Oncology Facility:** RTF #: _____

Study Group: _____ RTOG# _____ ACOSOG #: _____

Facility Name: _____

Address: _____

Is this Facility also known by other name(s)? If so, please provide:

PERSONNEL CONTACT INFORMATION

A. Radiation Oncologist Responsible for Implant Patients

Name: _____

Phone: _____

Address: _____

Fax: _____

E-mail: _____

B. Chair/Chief of Radiation Oncology

Name: _____

Phone: _____

Address: _____

Fax: _____

E-mail: _____

C. Physicist Responsible for Implant Patients

Name: _____

Phone: _____

Address: _____

Fax: _____

E-mail: _____

D. Dosimetrist Responsible for Implant Patients

Name: _____ Phone: _____
 Address: _____ Fax: _____
 _____ E-mail: _____

E. Data Manager Responsible for Implant Patients

Name: _____ Phone: _____
 Address: _____ Fax: _____
 _____ E-mail: _____

II. Experience of personnel:

A. For the Radiation Oncologist named above

How many intraoperative ¹²⁵I lung implants following sublobar resection have you performed in the past
 6 months? _____ 12 months? _____ career total? _____

What technique was used for ¹²⁵I lung implants? Mesh Double Suture

Has this person been previously credentialed for ¹²⁵I lung implants? No Yes date: _____

B. For the Physicist named above

How many intraoperative ¹²⁵I lung implants following sublobar resection have been evaluated with post
 implant CT in the past
 6 months? _____ 12 months? _____ career total? _____

What technique was used for ¹²⁵I lung implants? Mesh Double Suture

Has this person been previously credentialed for ¹²⁵I lung implants? No Yes date: _____

III. Equipment:

Post Implant Plan:

Treatment planning system model and version: _____

CT planning is performed? Yes No

Can the study be exported as DICOM RT? Yes No

What can be exported? CT Structures Plan Dose

Dose calculation matrix resolution is _____ mm x _____ mm x _____ mm.
 (should be ≤2mm x ≤2mm x axial slice width)

Is a point source approximation used? Yes No
 If yes, do you use an: anisotropy function anisotropy factors

If not, explain your procedures for determining and accounting for seed orientation.

Is a heterogeneity correction used? Yes No

If yes, explain the correction used:

IV. Quality Assurance Procedures: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

A. Source strength verification:

1. Dosimetry system used for in-house verification of seed activity:

Vendor: _____ Model: _____

2. How is the calibration of this system directly traceable to NIST? (Attach copies of ADCL certificates)
-

3. What are the QA procedures to verify that the calibration of this system has not changed?
-

4. How frequently are these QA procedures performed? _____

5. For the ^{125}I seeds to be used, what is the NIST calibration date to which your chamber calibration is traceable? _____

6. Describe your measurement technique for verifying seed strengths for individual patients.
-

7. Number of seeds assayed per patient: _____% or _____ seeds

8. What is your criterion for agreement with the vendor? +/-5% , +/-7% , +/-10% ,

Other (explain) _____

9. What seed strength is used for treatment planning? your own measurements vendor

Other (explain) _____

B. Source accounting:

1. Are radiographs taken at the completion of the implant? Yes No

If yes: AP lateral oblique stereo other: _____

2. Describe procedures used to account for all seeds at the time of implant:

3. Describe procedures used to account for all seeds at the time of post implant planning:

4. Describe techniques used to identify seeds and avoid identifying the same seed on multiple CT slices:

5. What is the discrepancy limit for unaccounted seeds and what action do you take if the discrepancy exceeds the limit?

C. Other dosimetry and QA procedures

1. Describe any calculations done at the time of commissioning to verify the accuracy of the computer generated treatment plan:

2. Describe your method for ensuring that the dosimetric parameters you use are consistent with the NIST calibration of the source and your calculation method (point source approximation vs. line source):

3. Describe any other quality assurance procedures pertinent to these brachytherapy procedures:
